SUMMONS (CITACION JUDICIAL)

NOTICE TO DEFENDANT: (Aviso a Acusado)		FOR COURT USE ONLY (SOLO PARA USO DE LA CORT	re)
ERIC SCHATZ, CARL ANDERSON, ROBERT MANNE, THE PACIFIC LUMBER COMPANY, ROE 1 "JERRY", AND ROES 2 TO 20			
YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF: (A Ud. le está demandando)			
JAMIE LEROY HARRIS			
You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons is served on you to file a typewritten response at this court.	tiene un plazo de 30	ntreguen esta citación judicial DIAS CALENDARIOS para pres a máquina en esta corte.	
A letter or phone call will not protect you; your typewritten response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case.	Una carta o una llamada telefónica no le ofrecerá protección; su respuesta escrita a máquina tiene que cumplir con las formalidades legales apropiadas si usted quiere que la corte escuche su caso. Si usted no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder el caso, y le pueden quitar su salario, su dinero y otras cosasde su propiedad sin aviso adicional por parte de la corte. Existen otros requisitos legales. Puede que usted quiera llamar a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede llamar a un servicio de referencia de abogados o a una oficina de ayuda legal (vea el directorio telefónico).		
If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case, and your wages, money and property may be taken without further warning from the court.			
There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may call an attorney referral service or a legal aid office (listed in the phone book).			
The name and address of the court is: (El nombre y dirección de	la corte es)	CASE NUMBER: (Número del Caso)	
Humboldt County Superior Court 825 5th Street Eureka, CA 95501		10h 0 x 000 Z	
The name, address, and telephone number of plaintiff's attorney (El nombre, la dirección y el número de teléfono del abogado de JAMIE LEROY HARRIS 1255 C STREET EUREKA CA 95501 Tel. (707) 441-0702			s)
DATE: JAN 1 7 2003 DWIGHT W. CLARK	Clerk, by(Actuario)	LINDA JOY W.	, Deputy (Delegado)
NOTICE TO THE PERSON SE			
1. as an individual defend	dant. der the fictitious name of	(specify):	
on behalf of (specify):			
,	corporation) defunct corporation) association or partnershi	CCP 416.60 (min- CCP 416.70 (con CCP 416.90 (indi	servatee)
4 by personal delivery o	n (date):		·

Form Adopted by Rule 982 Judicial Council of California 982(a)(9) [Rev. January 1, 1984]

(See reverse for Proof of Service) SUMMONS

WEST GROUP Official Publisher

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, state bar number, and address):	FOR COURT USE ONLY
☐ JAMIE LEROY HARRIS	,
STREET STREET	
EUREKA CA 95501	
TELEPHONE NO: (707)	FILED
E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional):	
ATTORNEY FOR (Name): IN PRO PER	JAN 1 7 2003
NAME OF COURT: Humboldt County Superior Court	JAN 1 / 2003
STREET ADDRESS: 825 5th Street	CUREDION COURT OF CALLEODMIA
MAILING ADDRESS:	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF HUMBOLDT
CITY AND ZIP CODE: Eureka, CA 95501	gggiri of Hombots.
BRANCH NAME:	
SHORT TITLE: THE PACIFIC LUMBER CO, ET AL v. DOE 1, et al	
CROSS-COMPLAINANT:	
JAMIE LEROY HARRIS	
ONWIE EEROT HARRIS	
CDOCC DEFENDANT EDIC COLLETT, CADI AND TO THE COLLETT CADI	
CROSS-DEFENDANT: ERIC SCHATZ, CARL ANDERSON, ROBERT MANNE, THE	
PACIFIC LUMBER COMPANY, ROE 1 "JERRY", AND ROES 2 TO 20	
10 20	
X DOES 1 TO 20	
CROSS-COMPLAINT—Personal Injury, Property Damage, Wrongful Death	
AMENDED (Number): ASSAULT, BATTERY, NEGLIGENCE,	
Causes of Action (check all that apply): SLANDER, INTENTIONAL INFLICTION	
Apportionment of Fault Declaratory Relief EMOTIONAL DISTRESS, NEGLIGENT INFLICTION	
Other (specify): OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS	
Jurisdiction (check all that apply):	CASE NUMBER:
ACTION IS A LIMITED CIVIL CASE (\$25,000 or less)	DR020602
ACTION IS AN UNLIMITED CIVIL CASE (exceeds \$25,000)	DNO
It is is not reclassified as unlimited by this cross-complaint	
1. CROSS-COMPLAINANT (name): JAMIE LEROY HARRIS	
alleges causes of action against CROSS-DEFENDANT (name):	
ERIC SCHATZ, CARL ANDERSON, ROBERT MANNE, THE PACIFIC LUMBER COMPA	ANY, ROE 1 "JERRY", AND ROES 2 TO 20
2. This pleading, including exhibits and attachments, consists of the following number of particles.	ges: <u>C</u>
3. Each cross-complainant named above is a competent adult	2.1
c. Last disessority airright harried above is a competent adult	
2 X Avent cross-complainant (name); THE BACIFIC LUMPER COMPANY	
a. X except cross-complainant (name): THE PACIFIC LUMBER COMPANY	
(1) a corporation qualified to do business in California	
 (1) a corporation qualified to do business in California (2) an unincorporated entity (describe): 	
 (1)	
 (1) a corporation qualified to do business in California (2) an unincorporated entity (describe): (3) a public entity (describe): (4) a minor an adult 	
 (1) a corporation qualified to do business in California (2) an unincorporated entity (describe): (3) a public entity (describe): (4) a minor an adult (a) for whom a guardian or conservator of the estate or a guardia 	n ad litem has been appointed
 (1) a corporation qualified to do business in California (2) an unincorporated entity (describe): (3) a public entity (describe): (4) a minor an adult (a) for whom a guardian or conservator of the estate or a guardia (b) other (specify): 	n ad litem has been appointed
 (1) a corporation qualified to do business in California (2) an unincorporated entity (describe): (3) a public entity (describe): (4) a minor an adult (a) for whom a guardian or conservator of the estate or a guardia 	n ad litem has been appointed
 (1) a corporation qualified to do business in California (2) an unincorporated entity (describe): (3) a public entity (describe): (4) a minor an adult (a) for whom a guardian or conservator of the estate or a guardia (b) other (specify): 	n ad litem has been appointed
 (1) a corporation qualified to do business in California (2) an unincorporated entity (describe): (3) a public entity (describe): (4) a minor an adult (a) for whom a guardian or conservator of the estate or a guardia (b) other (specify): 	n ad litem has been appointed
 (1) a corporation qualified to do business in California (2) an unincorporated entity (describe): (3) a public entity (describe): (4) a minor an adult (a) for whom a guardian or conservator of the estate or a guardia (b) other (specify): 	n ad litem has been appointed
 (1) a corporation qualified to do business in California (2) an unincorporated entity (describe): (3) a public entity (describe): (4) a minor an adult (a) for whom a guardian or conservator of the estate or a guardia (b) other (specify): (5) other (specify): 	
(1) \(\) a corporation qualified to do business in California (2) \(\) an unincorporated entity (describe): (3) \(\) a public entity (describe): (4) \(\) a minor \(\) an adult (a) \(\) for whom a guardian or conservator of the estate or a guardia (b) \(\) other (specify): (5) \(\) other (specify):	
(1) \(\) a corporation qualified to do business in California (2) \(\) an unincorporated entity (describe): (3) \(\) a public entity (describe): (4) \(\) a minor \(\) an adult (a) \(\) for whom a guardian or conservator of the estate or a guardia (b) \(\) other (specify): (5) \(\) other (specify):	
(1) a corporation qualified to do business in California (2) an unincorporated entity (describe): (3) a public entity (describe): (4) a minor an adult (a) for whom a guardian or conservator of the estate or a guardia (b) other (specify): (5) other (specify):	

_	SHORT TITLE: THE PACIFIC LUMBER CO, ET AL v. DOE 1, et al			CASE NUMBER: DROJO603
4.	Each cross-defendant named above is a natural person a. except cross-defendant (name): (1) a business organization, form unknown (2) a corporation (3) an unincorporated entity (describe): (4) a public entity (describe): (5) other (specify):	b	(1)	ross-defendant (name): a business organization, form unknown a corporation an unincorporated entity (describe): a public entity (describe): other (specify):
	Information about additional cross-defendants who are not Attachment 4.	natural pe	rsons is co	ontained in Cross-Complaint—
5.	The true names and capacities of cross-defendants sued as Does	s are unkno	own to cro	ss-complainant.
6.	6. X Cross-complainant is required to comply with a claims statute, and a. X has complied with applicable claims statutes, or b. is excused from complying because (specify):			
7.	Cause of Action—Inde (NUMBER) a. Cross-defendants were the agents, employees, co-venturers, p	partners, o	r in some ı	manner agents or principals, or both,
	for each other and were acting within the course and scope of b. The principal action alleges, among other things, conduct entitl	ling plaintif	f to compe	ensatory damages against me.
	 contend that I am not liable for events and occurrences described. c. If I am found in some manner responsible to plaintiff or to anyo described in plaintiff's complaint, my liability would be based so conduct, but only from an obligation imposed upon me by law; each cross-defendant. 	ped in plair one else as plely upon	ntiff's comp a result o a derivativ	plaint. If the incidents and occurrences If the form of liability not resulting from my
8.	Cause of Action—Appo	ortionmen	nt of Fault	
	a. Each cross-defendant was responsible, in whole or in part, for	the injuries	s. if anv. si	uffered by plaintiff
	 b. If I am judged liable to plaintiff, each cross-defendant should be in proportion to the comparative negligence of that cross-defendent for any payments I make to plaintiff in excess of my proportional 	e required idant in ca	l: (1) to pay using plain	y a share of plaintiff's judgment which is stiff's damages; and (2) to reimburse me
	•			
				X
	(Continued on	n page three	e)	

SI	HORT TITLE:		CASE NUMBER:
-	THE PACIFIC LUMBER CO, E	T AL v. DOE 1, et al	DR0 2060 x
9.	X 3	Cause of Action Declarate	
•	(NUMBER)	Cause of Action—Declaratory	
	An actual controversy exists between contends and cross-defendant disputed as follows:	n the parties concerning their res tes as specified in Cross	pective rights and duties because cross-complainant s-Complaint—Attachment 9
	Plaintiffs believe the amount of force used to rec Cross-Defendants believe they are entitled to us	strain persons from engaging in lawfu se life threatening force for the protec	al protest activity exceeds that authorized by law. etion of their business interests.
10.	X 4 Ca	ause of Action—(specify): ASS	ALUT
aho	(NUMBER)		
of falli larris Jamie Defe	ing to his death. On November 16, 2002, Eric Sci in fear and/or apprehension of falling to his death e Harris' thumb back to the point of great pain. M endants engaged in other conduct causing Mr. Ha	old wille 200 reet up in a precanous hatz zip tied Mr. Harris' hands behind in On or about November 16, 2002, Fr. Harris' thumb is still sore to this darris to have reasonable fear and/or a	npany under the direction of Carl Anderson, by pulling Jamie Haplace. Said action placed Mr. Harris in reasonable apprehension his back and held him out over a 200 foot drop, said action placed I "Jerry" an agent or employee of The Pacific Lumber Cory and does not have full motion of his thumb without experiencing prehension for his personal health and safety.
	"plaintiff" means "cross-complainant"	and "defendant" means "cross-c	defendant"):
	a Motor Vehicleb. X General Negligence		
	c. X Intentional Tort		
	d. Products Liability e. Premises Liability		
	f. X Other (specify):		
	23.10. 3 ,1		ress, Negligent Infliction of Emotional Distress
12.	CROSS-COMPLAINANT PRAYS for judgr a. X total and complete indemnity for a	iny judgments rendered against r	lief as is fair, just, and equitable; and for me.
	 b. iudgment in a proportionate share 	from each cross-defendant	
	against me by plaintiff.	 -defendants were the legal cause lify me, either completely or parti 	e of any injuries and damages sustained by plaintiff ally, for any sums of money which may be recovered
	d. X compensatory damages (1) (unlimited civil cases) ac	coording to proof	
	(2) 🔼 (limited civil cases) in th	e amount of: \$ 22,369,42	
	e other (specify):	,	
13.	The paragraphs of this cross-complain	nt alleged on information and be	lief are as follows (specify paragraph numbers):
) Date:	MICLERCY HECTIS		7 / 1
15	min le Ray Herris		
	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)		(SIGNATURE OF CROSS-COMPLAINANT OR ATTORNEY)

SHORT TITLE: THE PACIFIC LUMBER	CO, ET AL v. DOE 1, et al	CASE NUMBER: DRODOLOD
5 (number)	CAUSE OF ACTION—General Negligence	Page 4
ATTACHMENT TO Complaint		
(Use a separate cause of action form	for each cause of action.)	
GN-1. Plaintiff (name): JAMIE LERO	OY HARRIS	
alleges that defendant (name, ERIC SCHATZ, CARL ANDERSON, I): ROBERT MANNE, THE PACIFIC LUMBER COMPANY, I	ROE 1 "JERRY"
∑ Does 2	to _20	
on (date): November 15, 2002	se of damages to plaintiff. By the following acts or omission ge to plaintiff and November 16, 2002 Instration Forest, Humboldt County	ons to act, defendant

(description of reasons for liability):

Defendants have a duty to exercise general and ordinary care to prevent injuries to other person's and property belonging to other persons. Defendants breached this duty by extracting Plaintiff from a tree in an unsafe manner that caused Plaintiff to suffer injuries to his person and property.

SHORT TITLE: THE PACIFIC LUMBER CO, et al v. DOE 1, et al	CASE NUMBER: DRODO DO 6 0 1
CAUSE OF ACTION—Intentional Tort ATTACHMENT TO Complaint Cross-Complaint	Page
ATTACHMENT TO Complaint Cross-Complaint (Use a separate cause of action form for each cause of action.) IT-1 . Plaintiff (name): JAMIE LEROY HARRIS	
alleges that defendant (name): ERIC SCHATZ, CARL ANDERSON, ROBERT MANNE, THE PACIFIC LUMBER COMPANY,	ROE 1 "JERRY"
Does _2 to _20	
was the legal (proximate) cause of damages to plaintiff. By the following acts or omissic intentionally caused the damage to plaintiff on (date): November 15, 2002 and November 16, 2002 at (place): Jordan Creek Demonstration Forest, Humboldt County	ons to act, defendant

(description of reasons for liability):

In removing Plaintiff's person and personal property from Defendant's property, Defendants intended to cause Plaintiff to suffer personal injuries and damage to personal property. Defendants intended that Plaintiff suffer greater physical injuries to his person and property than lawfully permitted. As a result of such intent, Plaintiff did suffer injuries to his person and property that he would not have suffered had Defendants not had unlawful ulterior motives to cause Plaintiff to suffer injuries.

SH	IORT TITLE: T
1	
2	
3	Cause of Ac
4	from under h apprehensio
5	out over a 20 ROE 1 "Jern thumb is still
6	causing Mr.
7	Cause of Ac
8	In April of 20 Manne inten naming Plair
9	Cause of Ac
10	On Novembe that Plaintiff lowered him
11	not designed shock, anger further delibe
12	Total delibe
13	Cause of Act

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

THE PACIFIC LUMBER CO, et al v. DOE 1, et al

DAOJO607

ATTACHMENT (Number): 1

Page 6 of 6

(This Attachment may be used with any Judicial Council form.)

(Add pages as required)

Cause of Action 7 - Battery

On or about November 15, 2002, Eric Schatz, an employee or agent of The Pacific Lumber Company, by pulling Jamie Harris' sleeping bag from under him, did weaken Mr. Harris' handhold while 200 feet up in a precarious place. Said action placed Mr. Harris in reasonable apprehension or fear of falling to his death. On November 16, 2002, Eric Schatz zip tied Mr. Harris' hands behind his back and held him out over a 200 foot drop, said action placed Mr. Harris in fear and/or apprehension of falling to his death. On or about November 16, 2002, ROE 1 "Jerry" an agent or employee of The Pacific Lumber Company bent Jamie Harris' thumb back to the point of great pain. Mr. Harris' thumb is still sore to this day and does not have full motion of his thumb without experiencing pain. Defendants engaged in other conduct causing Mr. Harris to have reasonable fear and/or apprehension for his personal health and safety.

Cause of Action 8 - Slander

In April of 2002 Defendant Robert Manne called Plaintiff a terrorist in public in the presences of numerous members of the public. Robert Manne intended to impugn the reputation and integrity of Plaintiff. Robert Manne knew that Plaintiff was and is not a terrorist and that his naming Plaintiff a terrorist was false and designed soley to cause injury to Plaintiff's person.

Cause of Action 9 - Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress

On November 15, 2002 and November 16, 2002, Defendants intended to cause Plaintiff to suffer emotional distress. Defendants intended that Plaintiff suffer severe and outrageous mental distress. Defendants bound Plaintiff, forcibly tieing Plaintiff's hands behind his back, and lowered him from a height of 200 feet. Defendants lowered Plaintiff in a jerky manner. Defendants placed Plaintiff in a harness that was not designed for lowering people from trees. Defendants knew that the harness was unsafe and intended that Plaintiff suffer extreme shock, anger, dismay, and mortification of being lowered from a dangerous height using substandard and unsafe equipment. Defendants further deliberately pretended not to have due regard for Plaintiff's safety.

Cause of Action 10 - Negligent Infliction of Emotional Distress

On November 15, 2002 and November 16, 2002 Defendants were negligent in lowering Plaintiff from a tree. The manner Defendants lowered Plaintiff from a tree was outrageous and shocking to a reasonable person of ordinary sensibilities. As a result therefof, Plaintiff suffered severe emotional distress which no person can be expected to endure.

(If the item that this Attachment concerns is made under penalty of perjury, all statements in this Attachment are made under penalty of perjury.)